

Attorney Docket No.: C-6-2

Alexandrią, **V**A\ 22313-1450

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Philip E. Eggers, et al.

Application No.: 09/054,660

Filed: April 3, 1998

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR For: **ELECTROSURGICAL MYOCARDIAL** REVASCULARIZATION

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Examiner: M. Peffley

Art Unit: 3739

Confirmation No.: 2890

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER

<u>Nov7</u>/05-

37 CFR §1.97 and §1.98

Sir:

The references cited on attached form PTO-1449 are being called to the attention of the Examiner. A copy of each is enclosed.

It is respectfully requested that the cited information be considered during the prosecution of this application, and the references be made of record therein and appear among the "references cited" on any patent to issue there from.

This IDS is being filed after the mailing date of the first Office Action and after three months of the filing date, but prior to the Notice of Allowance or Final Office Action. The Commissioner is authorized to charge the required fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0359.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 44,168

ArthroCare Corporation 680 Vaqueros Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94085-3523 (408) 736-0224

FORM PTO-1449 (Modified) Attorney Docket No. Application No.: LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR C-6-2 APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE 09/054,660 STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary) PE Applicant: Philip E. Eggers Filing Date: NOV 0 9 2005 Group: 3739 04/03/1998 TO THANK Reference Designation U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Document No. Date Examiner Name Class Sub-Filing Date Initial class FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Document No. Date Country Class Sub-Translation class (yes/no) 57-57802 04/05/82 ΑN JΡ A61B 1/00 AΗ 97/48345 12/24/97 WIPO A61B 17/39 98/27880 ΑI 07/02/98 WIPO A61B 17/39 AB 92/21278 12/10/92 WIPO A61B 5/04 AC 94/08654 04/28/94 37/00 WIPO A61M 01/09/97 97/00647 AD WIPO 17/39 A61B ΑE 97/00646 01/09/97 WIPO A61B 17/39 ΑJ 2 327 350 01/27/99 UK A61B 17/39 ΑK 2 327 351 01/27/99 UK A61B 17/39 AL 2 327 352 01/27/99 UK A61B 17/39 AA 0 694 290 11/15/00 Europe A61B 18/04 ΑF 0 703 461 03/27/96 G01R 27/02 Europe AG 0 754 437 01/22/97 Europe A61B 17/39 3930451 AM 03/21/91 A61B 17/39 Germany OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) AO Pearce, John A. (1986) Electrosurgery, pgs. 17, 69-75, 87, John Wiley & Sons, New York. AΡ J.W. Ramsey et al. Urological Research Vol. 13, pp. 99-102 (1985). AO V.E. Elsasser et al. Acta Medicotechnica Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 129-134 (1976). P.C. Nardella (1989) $SPIE\ 1068:42-49$ Radio Frequency Energy and Impedance Feedback AR AS R. Tucker et al., Abstract P14-11, p. 248, "A Bipolar Electrosurgical Turp Loop" AT R. Tucker et al. J. of Urology Vol. 141, pp. 662-665, (1989). AU R. Tucker et al. Urological Research Vol. 18, pp. 291-294 (1990). ΑV Kramolowsky et al. J. of Urology Vol. 143, pp. 275-277 (1990). EXAMINER DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

FORM PTO-1	449 (Modified)		Attorney Docket No.	Applica	tion No.:	
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary)		C-6-2	09/054,660		660	
PE			Applicant: Philip E. Eggers			
	/6	100 m	Filing Date:	Group:	3739	
		الع 2005 و 0 م	04/03/1998			
Reference I	Designation	E/U.S	. PATENT DOCUMENTS	Т	7	
Examiner Initial	Document No.		Name	Class	Sub- class	Filing Date
		PODE	COL DIFFUE DOCUMENTS	<u> </u>		
	Dogument No.		GN PATENT DOCUMENTS	Class	Sub-	manalatia:
	Document No.	Date	Country	Class	class	Translation (yes/no)
ВК	57-117843	07/22/82	JP	A61B	17/39	
BL	99/51158	10/14/99	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
ВМ	99/51155	10/14/99	WIPO	A61B	17/36	
BF	97/48346	12/24/97	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
AW	95/34259	12/21/95	WIPO	A61F	5/48	
BN	98/27879	07/02/98	WIPO	A61B	17/36	
BE	97/24994	07/17/97	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
BD	97/24993	07/17/97	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
во	97/24074	07/10/97	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
BC	97/24073	07/10/97	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
AX	93/13816	07/22/93	WIPO	A61B	17/36	
AY	90/07303	07/12/90	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
BG	98/07468	02/26/98	WIPO	A61N	1/40	
AZ	94/04220	03/03/94	WIPO	yes	A61N	
BB	96/00042	01/04/96	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
ВН	2 308 979	07/16/97	GB	A61B	17/36	
BI	2 308 980	07/16/97	GB	A61B	17/36	
BJ	2 308 981	07/16/97	GB	A61B	17/36	
BA	0 740 926 A2	11/06/96	EP	A61B	17/39	
	OTHER ART (Including Auth	nor, Title, Date, Perti	nent Pag	es, Etc.)	
BP	Kramolowsky et al. <i>J. of Urology</i> Vol. 146, pp. 669-674 (1991).					
BQ	Slager et al.	Z. Kardiol. 7	6:Suppl. 6, 67-71 (198	7).		
BR	Slager et al. <i>JACC</i> 5(6):1382-6 (1985).					
BS	Olsen MD, Bipolar Laparoscopic Cholecstectomy Lecture (marked confidential), 10/07/91					
EXAMINER		DATE	CONSIDERED			

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

FORM PTO-1449 (Modified)		Attorney Docket No.	Application No.:			
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessary)		C-6-2	09/054,660		560	
/		Applicant: Philip E. Eggers				
(NOV 0 9 2005 E			Filing Date: 04/03/1998	Group: 3739		
Reference I	Designation Designation	U.S.	PATENT DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initial	Document No.	Date	Name	Class	Sub- class	Filing Date
		FOREI	GN PATENT DOCUMENTS		_	
	Document No.	Date	Country	Class	Sub- class	Translation (yes/no)
BU1	93/20747	10/28/93	WIPO	A61B	5/00	
BT	90/03152	04/05/90	WIPO	A61B	17/39	
BU	2313949	01/07/77	France	A61N	3/02	
OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)						
BV	Codman & Shurtleff, Inc. "The Malis Bipolar Electrosurgical System CMC-III Instruction Manual" 7/1991					
BW	Valley Forge's New Products, CLINICA, 475, 5, 11/6/91					
BX	Valley Forge Scientific Corp., "Summary of Safety and Effective Information from 510K," 1991					
ву	Codman & Shurtleff, Inc. "The Malis Bipolar Coagulating and Bipolar Cutting System CMC-II" brochure, early 1991					
BZ	L. Malis, "The Value of Irrigation During Bipolar Coagulation" See ARTC 21602, early 4/9/93					
CA	L. Malis, "Excerpted from a seminar by Leonard I. Malis, M.D. at the 1995 American Association of Neurological Surgeons Meeting," 1995					
СВ	L. Malis, "Electrosurgery, Technical Note," J. Neursurg., Vol. 85, 970-975, 11/96					
cc	CC Ian E. Shuman, "Bipolar Versus Monopolar Electrosurgery: Clinical Applications," Dentistry Today, Vol. 20, No. 12, 12/01					
CD Protell et al., "Computer-Assisted Electrocoagulation: Bipolar v. Monopolar in the Treatment of Experimental Canine Gastric Ulcer Bleeding," Gastroenterology Vol. 80, No. 3, pp. 451-455					onopolar in Denterology	
CE	Cook and Webster, "Therapeutic Medical Devices: Application and Design," 1982					
CF	Valleylab SSE2	Valleylab SSE2L Instruction Manual, 1/6/83				
CG	CG Robert D. Tucker et al., "Demodulated Low Frequency Currents from Electrosurgical Procedures," Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics, 159:39-43, 1984					9:39-43,
СН	Lu, et al., "Electrical Thermal Angioplasty: Catheter Design Features, In Vitro Tissue Ablation Studies and In Vitro Experimental Findings," Am J. Cardiol Vol. 60, pp. 1117-1122					
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED					

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

FORM PTO-1449 (Modified)		Attorney Docket	No.	Application No.:			
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necessor E		C-6-2		09/054,660		660	
	/o `	3	Applicant: Ph	nilip E	. Eggers	· · ·	
	(NO/	1 0 3 5002 R	Filing Date: 04/03/1998		Group: 3	739	
Reference D	Designation 🔭	U.S.	PATENT DOCUMENT	rs			
Examiner Initial	Document No.	Date	Name		Class	Sub- class	Filing Date
		FOREI	GN PATENT DOCUME	NTS		<u>,</u>	***
	Document No.	Date	Country		Class	Sub- class	Translation (yes/no)
	OTHER ART (Including Auth	or, Title, Date,	Pertin	nent Pages	s, Etc.)	
CH Selikowitz & LaCourse, "Electric Current and Voltage Recordings on the Myocardium During Electrosurgical Procedures in Canines," Surgery, Gynecology & Obstetrics, Vol. 164, 219-224, March 1987							
CI	J. O'Malley, Schaum's Outline of Theory and Problems of Basic Circuit Analysis, McGraw-Hill, 2 nd Ed., 1992, pp. 3-5				t		
CJ	Arnaud Wattiez et al., "Electrosurgery in Operative Endoscopy," Electrosurgical Effects, Blackwell Science, pp. 85-93, 1995						
CK	Leslie A. Geddes, "Medical Device Accidents: With Illustrative Cases" CRC Press, 1998						
CL	Wyeth, "Electrosurgical Unit" pp. 1181-1202						
CM	C.P. Swain, et al., <i>Gut</i> Vol. 25, pp. 1424-1431 (1984)						
CN	Piercey et al., Gastroenterology Vol. 74(3), pp. 527-534 (1978)						
co	A.K. Dobbie Bio-Medical Engineering Vol. 4, pp. 206-216 (1969)						
CP	B. Lee et al. JACC Vol. 13(5), pp. 1167-1175 (1989)						
cõ	K. Barry et al. American Heart Journal Vol. 117, pp. 332-341 (1982)						
CR							
cs	Jacob Kline, Handbook of Biomedical Engineering, Academic Press Inc., N.Y., pp. 98-113, 1988			, N.Y.,			
CT	M.B. Dennis et al. "Evolution of Electrofulguration in Control of Bleeding of Experimental Gastric Ulcers," Digestive Diseases and Sciences, Vol. 24, No. 11, 845-848						
CU	Letter from De	partment of He	alth to Jerry Ma	alis da	ted April	15, 1985	
CV	Letter from Je	erry Malis to F	DA dated July 25	5, 1985			
CW	Letter from De	partment of He	alth to Jerry Ma	alis da	ted 04/22	/91	
CX	Leonard Malis, "Instrumenation for Microvascular Neurosurgery" Cerebrovascular Surgery, Vol 1, 245-260, 1985.			rovascular			
CY	Valleylab, Inc Manual, 7/88	Valleylab, Inc. "Valleylab Part Number 945 100 102 A" Surgistat Service				ice	
Cz	Leonard I. Malis, "New Trends in Microsurgery and Applied Technology," Advanced Technology in Neurosurgery, 1-16, 1988				, "		
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED						

FORM PTO-1449 (Modified)			Attorney Docket No.	Application No.: 09/054,660			
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (Use several sheets if necession)			C-6-2			660	
	6,4	"Mage	Applicant: Philip E. Eggers				
(B) 000 00 000 (B)			Filing Date: 04/03/1998	Group: 3739			
Reference	Designation	U.S	. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initial	Document No.	Date	Name	Class	Sub- class	Filing Date	
		FORE	GN PATENT DOCUMENTS	•			
	Document No.	Date	Country	Class	Sub- class	Translation (yes/no)	
DC	98/39038	9/11/98	WO				
DO	98/23324	6/4/98	WO				
DP	98/19614	5/14/98	WO				
DQ	98/17186	4/30/98	WO				
DR	98/17185	4/30/98	WO				
	OTHER ART	(Including Auth	nor, Title, Date, Perti	nent Page	s, Etc.)		
EXAMINER		DATE	CONSIDERED				

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
On

PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: C-6-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:)
Philip E. Eggers, et al.) Examiner: M. Peffley
Application No.: 09/054,660) Art Unit: 3739)
Filed: April 3, 1998) Confirmation No.: 2890
For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ELECTROSURGICAL MYOCARDIAL REVASCULARIZATION) COMMUNICATION
Commissioner for Patents	····
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	

Sir:

The following information is being brought to the Examiner's attention pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.555, and 1.565. This is not a patent owner's statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.530.

I. LITIGATION ACTIVITY

Arthrocare Corporation ("ArthroCare") commenced an action on July 25, 2001, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against Smith & Nephew, Inc. ("Smith & Nephew") for infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,697,536 ("the '536 Patent"), 5,697,882 ("the '882 Patent") and 6,224,592 ("the '592 Patent"). That action was assigned Civil Action No. 01-504-SLR (the "Smith & Nephew litigation"). The Smith & Nephew litigation proceeded to trial commencing on April 30, 2003. On May 12, 2003, the jury returned a verdict in favor of ArthroCare on infringement and validity issues with respect to all three patents. Thereafter, on June 20, 2003, the Court entered judgment on the jury's verdict.

A number of post trial motions were filed on the issues of infringement, invalidity, and inequitable conduct. In particular, Smith & Nephew filed a motion for judgment as a matter of law; a motion for a new trial; a cross motion to strike ArthroCare's motion for entry of judgment of no inequitable conduct and a motion to modify the protective order. ArthroCare filed a motion for entry of judgment of no inequitable conduct and a motion for a permanent injunction.

On March 10, 2004 the Court ruled in favor of ArthroCare on all post trial motions. The Court issued a 90 page memorandum opinion: 1.) denying Smith & Nephew's motion for judgment as a matter of law; 2.) denying Smith & Nephew's motion for a new trial; 3.) granting ArthroCare's motion for entry of judgment of no inequitable conduct; 4.) denying Smith & Nephew's cross motion to strike ArthroCare's motion for entry of judgment of no inequitable conduct; 5.) granting ArthroCare's motion for a permanent injunction; and 6.) denying Smith & Nephew's motion to modify the protective order. The Court also issued, on March 10, 2004, a memorandum opinion granting ArthroCare's motion to dismiss Smith & Nephew's antitrust counterclaims.

On June 9, 2004, the Court issued an order enjoining Smith and Nephew from directly infringing, inducing the infringement, and contributing to the infringement of the '536 Patent, the '882 Patent and the '592 Patent. The above mentioned memorandum opinions and corresponding orders are enclosed for your consideration.

Smith & Nephew has appealed the judgment and the injunction to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. The parties have completed briefing on the appeal.

On May 10, 2005, the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals issued a decision (attached) in connection with the lawsuit between ArthroCare and Smith & Nephew. In its decision, the Court, among other things, upheld the jury's verdict in favor of ArthroCare on U.S. Patent Nos. 5,697,882 and 6,224,592, but reversed the jury's verdict as to the validity of claims 46, 47, and 56 of U.S. Patent No. 5,697,536.

On May 23, 2005, Patentee filed a Combined Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing *En Banc* (attached). The Petition specifies several points of law and fact overlooked or misapprehended by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in its May 10, 2005 Decision relating to the '536 Patent, the '882 Patent, and the '592 Patent. The Court issued an Order, dated July 12, 2005, denying Patentee's request for a panel rehearing and rehearing *En Banc*.

A portion of the memorandum opinion discussing the antitrust issues has been redacted to preserve information that is confidential

Numerous papers were filed with the Court during the *Smith & Nephew* litigation. The official docket kept by the clerk of the Delaware District Court, which is 43 pages long, is enclosed. The files holding the documents corresponding to the docket entries on the official docket span approximately eight linear feet of shelving space. Applicant is enclosing herewith the following documents from the *Smith & Nephew* litigation which show Smith & Nephew's and Applicant's primary arguments relating to issues of validity and enforceability:

- 1. Smith & Nephew's Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff ArthroCare's Interrogatories Nos. 4 And 5, dated December 19, 2001;
- 2. Smith & Nephew's Supplemental Invalidity And Infringement Contentions, served March 29, 2002²;
- 3. Smith & Nephew's Supplemental Invalidity Contentions, served June 3, 2002;
- 4. Smith & Nephew's Supplemental Invalidity Contentions, served September 10, 2002;
- 5. Smith & Nephew's Supplemental Invalidity Contentions, served October 9, 2002;
- 6. ArthroCare's Validity Contentions, served October 15, 2002;
- 7. Memorandum Order Re: Claim Construction, filed April 9, 2003;
- 8. Smith & Nephew's Notice Pursuant To 35 U.S.C. § 282;
- 9. Trial Testimony Of Smith & Nephew's Expert Dr. Kim Manwaring on May 6, 2003;
- 10. Trial Testimony Of Smith & Nephew's Expert Dr. Kenneth Taylor on May 7 & 8, 2003;
- 11. Jury Verdict, dated May 12, 2003;
- 12. Judgment In A Civil Case, filed June 20, 2003;
- 13. Smith & Nephew's Opening Brief In Support Of Its Inequitable Conduct Case, filed June 9, 2003;
- 14. Smith & Nephew's Opening Brief In Support Of Its Rule 50(b) Motion For Judgment As A Matter Of Law, filed June 30, 2003;
- 15. ArthroCare's Corrected Answering Brief In Opposition To Smith & Nephew's Opening Brief In Support Of Its Inequitable Conduct Case, filed July 11, 2003³;
- 16. ArthroCare's Answering Brief In Opposition To Smith & Nephew's Rule 50(b) Motion For Judgment As A Matter Of Law, filed July 30, 2003;

^{2.} Smith & Nephew marked its infringement contentions "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the protective order. Accordingly, Applicant has secured redacted pages that omit Smith & Nephew's infringement contentions from this document.

³ Smith & Nephew's Reply Brief In Support Of Its Inequitable Conduct Case, dated July 24, 2003, was filed under seal by Smith & Nephew. If the Examiner requests a copy, Applicant will contact Smith & Nephew in an attempt to secure an agreement by which the brief can be disclosed.

- 17. Smith & Nephew's Reply Brief In Support Of Its Rule 50(b) Motion For Judgment As A Matter Of Law, filed August 14, 2003.
- 18. Memorandum Opinion denying Smith & Nephew's motion to stay injunction, dated April 27, 2004, and corrected on April 28, 2004.
- 19. Smith & Nephew's Emergency Motion to Stay Injunction Under Fed. Cir. Rule 8, dated April 29, 2004.
- 20. Response of ArthroCare to Smith & Nephew's Emergency Motion to Stay Injunction Pending Appeal, dated May 6, 2004.
- 21. Smith & Nephew's Reply to ArthroCare's Response to Smith & Nephew's Emergency Motion to Stay Injunction Pending Appeal, dated May 12, 2004.
- 22. Order denying Smith & Nephew's Emergency Motion to Stay Injunction, dated June 3, 2004.
- 23. Order and Amended Order enjoining Smith & Nephew, dated June 9 and June 24, 2004.
- 24. Brief for Appellant Smith & Nephew, Inc., dated September 29, 2004.
- 25. Brief for Appellee ArthroCare Corp., dated November 15, 2004.
- 26. Reply Brief for Appellant Smith & Nephew, Inc., dated December 14, 2004.
- 27. Joint Appendix (3-volume set).

II. REEXAMINATION

A. The '536 Patent

On December 23, 1999, an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Request ("Request") for the '536 Patent was filed with the PTO. Applicant has enclosed a copy of the file history for the '536 Reexamination with this Information Disclosure Statement. The Request sought reexamination of claims 1-3, 14, 16, 22, 27, 30, 33, 38, 41-48, 55, 57, 60, and 63 of the '536 Patent in light of U.S. Patent 4,116,198 ("the Roos '198"). The PTO granted the Request on October 27, 2000.

On November 15, 2002, the PTO mailed an Office Action. The Office Action is divided into two sections. Section I sets forth the conclusion of the examiner and a board of primary examiners that "the Roos '198 does not anticipate or render obvious any of the independent claims of record." See November 15, 2002 Office Action at 3. Section II contains a rejection of claims 1-64 of the '536 Patent as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in light of certain references

identified in an Information Disclosure Statement filed by Applicant on June 19, 2002. On December 19, 2002, Applicant submitted a Response to the Office Action.

On March 14, 2003, the PTO issued a Notice of Intent to Issue *Ex Parte* Reexamination Certificate ("NIRC"). The NIRC states that "the examiner of record concurs with the arguments presented by patent Applicant on paper number 15. Accordingly, it is concluded that claims 1-64 are allowable over the prior art of record." *See* NIRC at 2. A Reexamination Certificate issued on June 10, 2003.

Additionally, on April 9, 2003, another *Ex Parte* Reexamination Request for the '536 Patent was filed with the PTO. The Request sought reexamination of claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 14, 15, 25, 26, 28, 30-33, 36, 38, 40, 42-47, 49, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, and 63 of the '536 Patent in light of the Roos '198; Elsasser and Roos, "Uber ein Instrument zur leckstromfreien transurethralen resection," Medizinal-Markt/Acto Medicotechnica, Vol. 24, No. 4/1976, pp. 129-134 ("the Elsasser and Roos article"); U.S. Patent Nos. 4,805,616; 4,674,499; 4,381,007; 5,217,459; and 5,007,908. The PTO granted the Request on June 30, 2003. It has been assigned Reexamination No. 90/006,597.

A non-final office action was issued on November 19, 2004. Patentee submitted a timely reply on January 18, 2005.

B. The '882 Patent

On April 18, 2003, an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Request for the '882 Patent was filed with the PTO. The Request sought reexamination of claims 1, 13, 17, 18, 24, 26, 28, 29, 48 and 54 of the '882 Patent in light of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,122,138; 5,007,908; and Slager et al., "Vaporization Of Atherosclerotic Plaques By Spark Erosion," JACC Vol. 5, No. 6, June 1985:1382-6 ("the Slager Article"). The PTO granted the Request on July 1, 2003. It has been assigned Reexamination No. 90/006,607. An Office Action issued on February 18, 2005. Applicant filed a timely reply on April 18, 2005.

C. The '592 Patent

On April 21, 2003, an *Ex Parte* Reexamination Request for the '592 Patent was filed with the PTO. The Request sought reexamination of claims 1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 21, 23, 26, 27, 30, 32 and 42 of the '592 Patent in light of the Roos '198; the Elsasser and Roos article; U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,381,007 to Doss; and the Slager Article. The PTO granted the Request on July 7, 2003. It has been assigned Reexamination No.

Application No. 09/054,660 Page 6

90/006,611. An Office Action issued on April 14, 2005 and April 26, 2005. Applicant filed a timely reply on June 27 2005.

Should the Examiner desire copies of any of the documents filed in connection with the above reexaminations Applicant will submit them upon a request to do so in writing from the Examiner.

III. COPENDING APPLICATIONS

Applicant also brings to the Patent Office's attention the following co owned, co-pending patent applications:

Application No.	Filing Date	Application No.	Filing Date
09/293,231	16-Apr-1999	10/621,839	16-Jul-2003
09/314,247	18-May-1999	10/437,260	13-May-2003
09/338,842	23-Jun-1999	10/402,728	28-Mar-2003
09/347,390	06-Jul-1999	10/392,529	20-Mar-2003
09/354,835	16-Jul-1999	10/389,159	14-Mar-2003
09/372,454	11-Aug-1999	10/613,609	02-Jul-2003
09/457,201	06-Dec-1999	10/435,825	12-May-2003
		10/613,115	03-Jul-2003
09/501,327	09-Feb-2000	10/621,839	16-Jul-2003
09/512,742	24-Feb-2000	10/661,118	12-Sep-2003
09/562,496	01-May-2000	10/656,597	05-Sep-2003
09/586,295	02-Jun-2000	10/682,600	09-Oct-2003
09/679,394	03-Oct-2000	10/713,643	13-Nov-2003
09/747,311	20-Dec-2000	10/735,477	11-Dec-2003
09/796,094	28-Feb-2001	10/774,222	05-Feb-2004
09/839,427	20-Apr-2001	10/799,908	12-Mar-2004
09/963,736	03-May-2001	10/799,491	12-Mar-2004
		10/903,655	29-Jul-2004
10/072,599	05-Feb-2002	10/970,796	20-Oct-2004
10/097,763	13-Mar-2002		
10/139,117	03-May-2002	11/028,790	03-Jan-2005
10/187,733	27-Jun-2002	11/089,879	25-Mar-2005
10/264,308	02-Oct-2002	11/105,274	12-Apr-2005
10/288,227	04-Nov-2002	11/114,610	25-Apr-2005
10/290,930	07-Nov-2002	11/125,613	09-Mar-2005
10/290,930	07-Nov-2002	11/130,931	16-May-2005
10/291,213	08-Nov-2002	11/144,934	03-Jun-2005
		11/166,545	24-Jun-2005
10/339,470	09-Jan-2003	11/176,803	06-Jul-2005
10/367,608	13-Feb-2003		
10/372,591	21-Feb-2003		
10/374,411	25-Feb-2003		
10/384,050	05-Mar-2003		
10/389,159	13-Mar-2003		

Application No. 09/054,660 Page 8

Should the Examiner desire any of the documents mentioned above or in the previously submitted Communication that have not been provided to the Examiner, ArthroCare shall supply them upon the Examiner's request in writing.

Respectfully submitted,

Raf Jaipershad
Reg No.

Reg. No. 44,168

ArthroCare Corporation 680 Vaqueros Avenue Sunnyvale, California 94085-3523 (408) 736-0224